

# SHIRE OF MT MARSHALL



## **Mt Marshall Audit Committee**

**Minutes of the  
Mt Marshall Audit Committee Meeting  
held on Tuesday 22 March 2016  
in Council Chambers,  
80 Monger St, Bencubbin  
commencing at 2:30pm.**

---

Chairman

**This page has  
been left blank  
intentionally**

---

# **DISCLAIMER**

## **MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE REQUESTED TO READ THROUGH AND FAMILIARISE THEMSELVES WITH THE DISCLAIMER**

No responsibility whatsoever is implied or accepted by the Shire of Mt Marshall for any act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council or Committee meetings. The Shire of Mt Marshall disclaims any liability for any loss whatsoever and howsoever caused arising out of reliance by any person or legal entity on any such act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council or Committee meetings. Any person or legal entity who acts or fails to act in reliance upon any statement, act or omission made in a Council or Committee meeting does so at that person's or legal entity's own risk.

In particular and without derogating in any way from the broad disclaimer above, in any discussion regarding any planning application or application for a license, any statement or intimation of approval made by a member or officer of the Shire of Mt Marshall during the course of any meeting is not intended to be and is not to be taken as notice of approval from the Shire of Mt Marshall. The Shire of Mt Marshall warns that anyone who has an application lodged with the Shire of Mt Marshall must obtain and should only rely on written confirmation of the outcome of the application, and any conditions attaching to the decision made by the Shire of Mt Marshall in respect of the application.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1.0 Declaration of Opening / Announcement of Visitors**
- 2.0 Record of Attendance / Apologies**
- 3.0 Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meeting**
  - 3.1 Minutes of Audit Committee Meeting held Tuesday 9 February 2016**
- 4.0 Petitions / Deputations / Presentations / Submissions**
- 5.0 Reports of Officers**
  - 5.1 2015 Compliance Audit Return**
- 6.0 Next Meeting – to be advised**
- 7.0 Closure of Meeting**

**Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016**

**1.0 Declaration of Opening / Announcement of Visitors**

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 2:30 pm and welcomed newly appointed Acting CEO, Mr Eddie Piper to his first Audit Committee meeting at the Shire of Mt Marshall.

**2.0 Record of Attendance / Apologies**

**Attendance**

Cr RM Kirby	President/ Chairman	
Cr SE Faulkner	Deputy President / Deputy Chairman	
Cr ARC Sachse	Councillor	
Cr HJ Shemeld	Councillor	
Cr WJ Beagley	Councillor	
Cr Paul Gillett	Councillor	2:33 – 2:37pm
Mr Eddie Piper	Acting Chief Executive Officer	
Ms Nadine Richmond	Executive Assistant	
Ms Tanika McLennan	Finance and Administration Manager	

**Apologies**

Cr CT Lumsden	Councillor
---------------	------------

**3.0 Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meetings**

**3.1 Minutes of Mt Marshall Audit Committee**

**Audit2016/003 COMMITTEE DECISION:**

**That the Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 9 February 2016 be confirmed as a true and correct record of proceedings.**

**Moved Cr WJ Beagley                      Seconded Cr HJ Shemeld                      Carried 5/0**

**4.0 Petitions / Deputations / Presentations / Submissions**

Nil

**5.0 Reports of Officers**

**5.1 2015 Compliance Audit Return**

<b>LOCATION/ADDRESS:</b>	N/A
<b>NAME OF APPLICANT:</b>	N/A
<b>FILE REFERENCE:</b>	A2/27
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	Nadine Richmond – Executive Assistant
<b>DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:</b>	Nil
<b>DATE:</b>	9 March 2016
<b>ATTACHMENT NUMBER:</b>	5.1 – Compliance Audit Return 2015
<b>CONSULTATION:</b>	Tanika McLennan – Acting Chief Executive Officer Dirk Sellenger – Former Chief Executive Officer
<b>STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT:</b>	Local Government Act 1995 Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996
<b>POLICY IMPLICATIONS:</b>	Nil
<b>FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:</b>	Nil
<b>STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:</b>	Nil
<b>VOTING REQUIREMENT:</b>	Simple Majority

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Audit Committee adopt the Compliance Audit Return (as attached) for the period from 1 January 2015 to 31 December 2015, being recorded as required by the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.

Moved Cr

Seconded Cr

Carried

**2016/004 AUDIT COMMITTEE DECISION:**

**That the Audit Committee adopt and recommend to Council the Compliance Audit Return (as attached), for the period from 1 January 2015 to 31 December 2015, being recorded as required by the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996, with the following amendment:**

**The response to question 25 in the Tenders for providing Goods and Services section be changed from 'N/A' to 'yes'**

**Moved Cr ARC Sachse**

**Seconded Cr HJ Shemeld**

**Carried 6/0**

**BACKGROUND:**

The Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 require that the Shire carry out a Compliance Audit Return (CAR) for the period 1 January to 31 December each year, and after carrying out the Audit prepare a Compliance Audit Return in a form approved by the Minister.

The Audit Committee is required to review the annual CAR and report to the Council the results of that review prior to adoption of the CAR by Council.

The CAR is then to be presented to the Council for adoption and recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which it is adopted.

**Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016**

The return, once adopted by Council is to be certified by the Shire President and the Chief Executive Officer and forwarded to the Director General of the Department of Local Government and Regional Development.

**COMMENT:**

The compliance audit is comprehensive and gives the Council an indication of the Shire's level of compliance with legislative requirements. The audit has been completed by the former Chief Executive Officer and the Finance and Administration Manager and the Shire is compliant in all areas.

**ATTACHMENT 5.1**

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

**Mount Marshall - Compliance Audit Return 2015**

<b>Commercial Enterprises by Local Governments</b>					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,9	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major trading undertaking in 2015.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
2	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major land transaction that was not exempt in 2015.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
3	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan before entering into each land transaction that was preparatory to entry into a major land transaction in 2015.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
4	s3.59(4)	Has the local government given Statewide public notice of each proposal to commence a major trading undertaking or enter into a major land transaction for 2015.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
5	s3.59(5)	Did the Council, during 2015, resolve to proceed with each major land transaction or trading undertaking by absolute majority.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger

<b>Delegation of Power / Duty</b>					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees resolved by absolute majority.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
2	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees in writing.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
3	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees within the limits specified in section 5.17.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
4	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees recorded in a register of delegations.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
5	s5.18	Has Council reviewed delegations to its committees in the 2014/2015 financial year.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
6	s5.42(1),5.43 Admin Reg 18G	Did the powers and duties of the Council delegated to the CEO exclude those as listed in section 5.43 of the Act.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
7	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO resolved by an absolute majority.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
8	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO in writing.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
9	s5.44(2)	Were all delegations by the CEO to any employee in writing.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger



## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

10	s5.45(1)(b)	Were all decisions by the Council to amend or revoke a delegation made by absolute majority.	Yes	Dirk Sellenger
11	s5.46(1)	Has the CEO kept a register of all delegations made under the Act to him and to other employees.	Yes	Dirk Sellenger
12	s5.46(2)	Were all delegations made under Division 4 of Part 5 of the Act reviewed by the delegator at least once during the 2014/2015 financial year.	Yes	Dirk Sellenger
13	s5.46(3) Admin Reg 19	Did all persons exercising a delegated power or duty under the Act keep, on all occasions, a written record as required.	Yes	Dirk Sellenger

<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>					
<b>No</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Respondent</b>
1	s5.67	If a member disclosed an interest, did he/she ensure that they did not remain present to participate in any discussion or decision-making procedure relating to the matter in which the interest was disclosed (not including participation approvals granted under s5.68).	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
2	s5.68(2)	Were all decisions made under section 5.68(1), and the extent of participation allowed, recorded in the minutes of Council and Committee meetings.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
3	s5.73	Were disclosures under section 5.65 or 5.70 recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the disclosure was made.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
4	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly elected members within three months of their start day.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
5	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly designated employees within three months of their start day.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
6	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all continuing elected members by 31 August 2015.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
7	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all designated employees by 31 August 2015.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
8	s5.77	On receipt of a primary or annual return, did the CEO, (or the Mayor/ President in the case of the CEO's return) on all occasions, give written	Yes		Dirk Sellenger

## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

			acknowledgment of having received the return.		
9	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained the returns lodged under section 5.75 and 5.76	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
10	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.65, 5.70 and 5.71, in the form prescribed in Administration Regulation 28.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
11	s5.88 (3)	Has the CEO removed all returns from the register when a person ceased to be a person required to lodge a return under section 5.75 or 5.76.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
12	s5.88(4)	Have all returns lodged under section 5.75 or 5.76 and removed from the register, been kept for a period of at least five years, after the person who lodged the return ceased to be a council member or designated employee.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
13	s5.103 Admin Reg 34C & Rules of Conduct Reg 11	Where an elected member or an employee disclosed an interest in a matter discussed at a Council or committee meeting where there was a reasonable belief that the impartiality of the person having the interest would be adversely affected, was it recorded in the minutes.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
14	s5.70(2)	Where an employee had an interest in any matter in respect of which the employee provided advice or a report directly to the Council or a Committee, did that person disclose the nature of that interest when giving the advice or report.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
15	s5.70(3)	Where an employee disclosed an interest under s5.70(2), did that person also disclose the extent of that interest when required to do so by the Council or a Committee.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
16	s5.103(3) Admin Reg 34B	Has the CEO kept a register of all notifiable gifts received by Council members and employees.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger

### Disposal of Property

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.58(3)	Was local public notice given prior to disposal for any property not disposed of by public auction or tender (except where excluded by Section 3.58(5)).	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
2	s3.58(4)	Where the local government disposed of property under section 3.58(3), did it provide details, as prescribed by section 3.58(4), in the required local public notice for each disposal of property.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger

## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

<b>Elections</b>					
<b>No</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Respondent</b>
1	Elect Reg 30G (1)	Did the CEO establish and maintain an electoral gift register and ensure that all 'disclosure of gifts' forms completed by candidates and received by the CEO were placed on the electoral gift register at the time of receipt by the CEO and in a manner that clearly identifies and distinguishes the candidates.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger

<b>Finance</b>					
<b>No</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Respondent</b>
1	s7.1A	Has the local government established an audit committee and appointed members by absolute majority in accordance with section 7.1A of the Act.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
2	s7.1B	Where a local government determined to delegate to its audit committee any powers or duties under Part 7 of the Act, did it do so by absolute majority.	N/A		Tanika McLennan
3	s7.3	Was the person(s) appointed by the local government to be its auditor, a registered company auditor.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
4	s7.3, 7.6(3)	Was the person or persons appointed by the local government to be its auditor, appointed by an absolute majority decision of Council.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
5	Audit Reg 10	Was the Auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2015 received by the local government within 30 days of completion of the audit.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
6	s7.9(1)	Was the Auditor's report for 2014/2015 received by the local government by 31 December 2015.	No		Tanika McLennan
7	S7.12A(3)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act required action to be taken by the local government, was that action undertaken.	N/A		Tanika McLennan
8	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act) required action to be taken by the local government, was a report prepared on any actions undertaken.	N/A		Tanika McLennan
9	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act) required action to	N/A		Tanika McLennan

## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

		be taken by the local government, was a copy of the report forwarded to the Minister by the end of the financial year or 6 months after the last report prepared under s7.9 was received by the local government whichever was the latest in time.		
10	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the objectives of the audit.	Yes	Tanika McLennan
11	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the scope of the audit.	Yes	Tanika McLennan
12	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include a plan for the audit.	Yes	Tanika McLennan
13	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include details of the remuneration and expenses to be paid to the auditor.	Yes	Tanika McLennan
14	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the method to be used by the local government to communicate with, and supply information to, the auditor.	Yes	Tanika McLennan

### Local Government Employees

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	Admin Reg 18C	Did the local government approve the process to be used for the selection and appointment of the CEO before the position of CEO was advertised.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
2	s5.36(4) s5.37(3), Admin Reg 18A	Were all vacancies for the position of CEO and other designated senior employees advertised and did the advertising comply with s.5.36(4), 5.37(3) and Admin Reg 18A.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
3	Admin Reg 18F	Was the remuneration and other benefits paid to a CEO on appointment the same remuneration and benefits advertised for the position of CEO under section 5.36(4).	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
4	Admin Regs 18E	Did the local government ensure checks were carried out to confirm that the information in an application for employment was true (applicable to CEO only).	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
5	s5.37(2)	Did the CEO inform council of each proposal to employ or dismiss a designated senior employee.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger

## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

Official Conduct					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.120	Where the CEO is not the complaints officer, has the local government designated a senior employee, as defined under s5.37, to be its complaints officer.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger
2	s5.121(1)	Has the complaints officer for the local government maintained a register of complaints which records all complaints that result in action under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
3	s5.121(2)(a)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording of the name of the council member about whom the complaint is made.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
4	s5.121(2)(b)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording the name of the person who makes the complaint.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
5	s5.121(2)(c)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording a description of the minor breach that the standards panel finds has occurred.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger
6	s5.121(2)(d)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include the provision to record details of the action taken under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	Yes		Dirk Sellenger

## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

<b>Tenders for Providing Goods and Services</b>						
<b>No</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	
1	s3.57 F&G Reg 11	Did the local government invite tenders on all occasions (before entering into contracts for the supply of goods or services) where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, worth more than the consideration stated in Regulation 11(1) of the Local Government (Functions & General) Regulations (Subject to Functions and General Regulation 11(2)).	Yes		Dirk Sellenger	
2	F&G Reg 12	Did the local government comply with F&G Reg 12 when deciding to enter into multiple contracts rather than inviting tenders for a single contract.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger	
3	F&G Reg 14(1) & (3)	Did the local government invite tenders via Statewide public notice.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger	
4	F&G Reg 14 & 15	Did the local government's advertising and tender documentation comply with F&G Regs 14, 15 & 16.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger	
5	F&G Reg 14(5)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to tenderers, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought copies of the tender documents or each acceptable tenderer, notice of the variation.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger	
6	F&G Reg 16	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening tenders comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger	
7	F&G Reg 18(1)	Did the local government reject the tenders that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation to tender.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger	
8	F&G Reg 18 (4)	In relation to the tenders that were not rejected, did the local government assess which tender to accept and which tender was most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	N/A		Dirk Sellenger	
9	F&G Reg 17	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 17.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger	
10	F&G Reg 19	Was each tenderer sent written notice advising particulars of the successful tender or advising that no tender was accepted.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger	
11	F&G Reg 21 & 22	Did the local governments's advertising and expression of interest documentation comply with the requirements of F&G Regs 21 and 22.	Yes		Dirk Sellenger	
12	F&G Reg 23(1)	Did the local government reject the	N/A		Dirk Sellenger	

## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

		expressions of interest that were not submitted at the place and within the time specified in the notice.		
13	F&G Reg 23(4)	After the local government considered expressions of interest, did the CEO list each person considered capable of satisfactorily supplying goods or services.	Yes	Dirk Sellenger
14	F&G Reg 24	Was each person who submitted an expression of interest, given a notice in writing in accordance with Functions & General Regulation 24.	Yes	Dirk Sellenger
15	F&G Reg 24AD(2)	Did the local government invite applicants for a panel of pre-qualified suppliers via Statewide public notice.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
16	F&G Reg 24AD(4) & 24AE	Did the local government's advertising and panel documentation comply with F&G Regs 24AD(4) & 24AE.	Yes	Dirk Sellenger
17	F&G Reg 24AF	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16 as if the reference in that regulation to a tender were a reference to a panel application.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
18	F&G Reg 24AD(6)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to the panel, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought detailed information about the proposed panel or each person who submitted an application, notice of the variation.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
19	F&G Reg 24AH(1)	Did the local government reject the applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation for applications.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
20	F&G Reg 24AH(3)	In relation to the applications that were not rejected, did the local government assess which application(s) to accept and which application(s) were most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
21	F&G Reg 24AG	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register about panels of pre-qualified suppliers, comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24AG.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
22	F&G Reg 24AI	Did the local government send each person who submitted an application, written notice advising if the person's application was accepted and they are to be part of a panel of pre-qualified suppliers, or, that the application was not accepted.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger

## Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

Department of Local Government and Communities - Compliance Audit Return



Government of Western Australia  
Department of Local Government and Communities

23	F&G Reg 24E	Where the local government gave a regional price preference in relation to a tender process, did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24E in relation to the preparation of a regional price preference policy (only if a policy had not been previously adopted by Council).	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
24	F&G Reg 24F	Did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24F in relation to an adopted regional price preference policy.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger
25	F&G Reg 11A	Does the local government have a current purchasing policy in relation to contracts for other persons to supply goods or services where the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, \$150,000 or less.	N/A	Dirk Sellenger



Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting on Tuesday 22 March 2016

**6.0 Next Meeting – to be advised**

**7.0 Closure of Meeting**

The Chairman declared the meeting closed at 2:37pm.

These Minutes were confirmed by the Mt Marshall Audit Committee at its meeting held

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman