SHIRE OF MT MARSHALL



Mt Marshall Audit Committee

Minutes of the Mt Marshall Audit Committee Meeting held on Wednesday 20 March 2019 in Council Chambers, 80 Monger St, Bencubbin commencing at 9.02am.

Chairperson

This page has been tionally intentionally

DISCLAIMER

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE REQUESTED TO READ THROUGH AND FAMILIARISE THEMSELVES WITH THE DISCLAIMER

No responsibility whatsoever is implied or accepted by the Shire of Mt Marshall for any act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council or Committee meetings. The Shire of Mt Marshall disclaims any liability for any loss whatsoever and howsoever caused arising out of reliance by any person or legal entity on any such act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council or Committee meetings. Any person or legal entity who acts or fails to act in reliance upon any statement, act or omission made in a Council or Committee meeting does so at that person's or legal entity's own risk.

In particular and without derogating in any way from the broad disclaimer above, in any discussion regarding any planning application or application for a license, any statement or intimation of approval made by a member or officer of the Shire of Mt Marshall during the course of any meeting is not intended to be and is not to be taken as notice of approval from the Shire of Mt Marshall. The Shire of Mt Marshall warns that anyone who has an application lodged with the Shire of Mt Marshall must obtain and should only rely on <u>written confirmation</u> of the outcome of the application, and any conditions attaching to the decision made by the Shire of Mt Marshall in respect of the application.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1.0 Declaration of Opening / Announcement of Visitors
- 2.0 Record of Attendance / Apologies
- 3.0 Standing Orders
- 4.0 Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meetings
 4.1 Minutes of Audit Committee Meeting held Tuesday 18 December 2018
- 5.0 Petitions / Deputations / Presentations / Submissions
 5.1 Presentation by Mr Greg Godwin of Moore Stephens
- 6.0 Reports of Officers 6.1 2018 Compliance Audit Return
- 7.0 Next Meeting to be advised
- 8.0 Closure of Meeting

1.0 Declaration of Opening / Announcement of Visitors

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 9:02am.

2.0 Record of Attendance / Apologies

Attendance

Cr ARC Sachse Cr NR GIllett Cr RM Kirby Cr SR Putt Cr SE Faulkner Cr LN Gobbart	President/ Chairperson Deputy President / Deputy Cl Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor	nairperson
Mr John Nuttall Ms Nadine Richmond Ms Tanika McLennan Mr Greg Godwin Mrs Tanya Gibson Mr Len Cargeeg	Chief Executive Officer Executive Assistant Finance and Administration M Moore Stephens Member of the Public Member of the Public	/lanager 9.55 – 9.58am 9.56 – 9.58am

Apologies

Cr IC Sanders

Councillor

3.0 Standing Orders

Audit2019/001 COMMITTEE DECISION:

That Standing Order number 9.2 - Limitation of Number of Speeches be suspended for the duration of the meeting to allow for greater debate on items in the agenda.

Moved Cr LN Gobbart Seconded Cr NR Gillett Carried 6/0

4.0 Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meetings

4.1 Minutes of Mt Marshall Audit Committee Meeting held 18 December 2018

Audit2019/002 COMMITTEE DECISION:

That the Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 18 December 2018 be confirmed as a true and correct record of proceedings.

Moved Cr SR Putt

Seconded Cr LN Gobbart

Carried 6/0

5.0 Petitions / Deputations / Presentations / Submissions

5.1 Presentation by Mr Greg Godwin of Moore Stephens

Mr Greg Godwin of Moore Stephens made a presentation to the Audit Committee.

6.0 Reports of Officers

6.1 2018 Compliance Audit Return

A2/27
N/A
Nil
N/A
Nadine Richmond – Executive Assistant
6.1 - 2018 Compliance Audit Return
Nil
Simple Majority
Nil

Background:

The Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 require that the Shire carry out a Compliance Audit Return (CAR) for the period 1 January to 31 December each year, and after carrying out the Audit prepare a Compliance Audit Return in a form approved by the Minister.

The Audit Committee is required to review the annual CAR and report to the Council the results of that review prior to adoption of the CAR by Council.

The CAR is then to be presented to the Council for adoption and recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which it is adopted.

The return, once adopted by Council is to be certified by the Shire President and the Chief Executive Officer and submitted via the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural.

Consultation:

John Nuttall – Chief Executive Officer

Statutory Environment:

Local Government Act 1995 Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996

Relevant Plans and Policy: Nil

Financial Implications:

Nil

Risk Assessment:

Failure to fulfil compliance requirements (Statutory and Regulatory)

Community & Strategic Objectives:

CIVIC LEADERSHIP - Provide efficient and effective management:

C 3.3 Provide reporting processes in a transparent, accountable and timely manner

Comment:

The compliance audit is comprehensive and gives the Council an indication of the Shire's level of compliance with legislative requirements. The audit has been completed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Finance and Administration Manager and the Shire is compliant in all areas.

Audit2019/003 OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COMMITTEE DECISION:

That the Audit Committee adopt the Compliance Audit Return (as per attachment 6.1) for the period from 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018, being recorded as required by the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.

Moved Cr RM Kirby

Seconded Cr SR Putt

Carried 6/0

ATTACHMENT 6.1

Mount Marshall - Compliance Audit Return 2018

Certified Copy of Return

Please submit a signed copy to the Director General of the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries together with a copy of section of relevant minutes.

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,9	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major trading undertaking in 2018.	N/A		John Nuttall
2	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major land transaction that was not exempt in 2018.	N/A		John Nuttall
3	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan before entering into each land transaction that was preparatory to entry into a major land transaction in 2018.	N/A		John Nuttall
4	s3.59(4)	Has the local government given Statewide public notice of each proposal to commence a major trading undertaking or enter into a major land transaction for 2018.	N/A		John Nuttall
5	s3.59(5)	Did the Council, during 2018, resolve to proceed with each major land transaction or trading undertaking by absolute majority.	N/A		John Nuttall

Delegation of Power / Duty

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees resolved by absolute majority.	N/A		John Nuttall
2	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees in writing.	N/A		John Nuttall
3	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees within the limits specified in section 5.17.	N/A		John Nuttall
4	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees recorded in a register of delegations.	N/A		John Nuttall
5	s5.18	Has Council reviewed delegations to its committees in the 2017/2018 financial year.	N/A		John Nuttall
6	s5.42(1),5.43 Admin Reg 18G	Did the powers and duties of the Council delegated to the CEO exclude those as listed in section 5.43 of the Act.	Yes		John Nuttall

7	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO resolved by an absolute majority.	Yes	John Nuttall
8	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO in writing.	Yes	John Nuttall
9	s5.44(2)	Were all delegations by the CEO to any employee in writing.	Yes	John Nuttall
10	s5.45(1)(b)	Were all decisions by the Council to amend or revoke a delegation made by absolute majority.	Yes	John Nuttall
11	s5.46(1)	Has the CEO kept a register of all delegations made under the Act to him and to other employees.	Yes	John Nuttall
12	s5.46(2)	Were all delegations made under Division 4 of Part 5 of the Act reviewed by the delegator at least once during the 2017/2018 financial year.	Yes	John Nuttall
13	s5.46(3) Admin Reg 19	Did all persons exercising a delegated power or duty under the Act keep, on all occasions, a written record as required.	Yes	John Nuttall

Disclosure of Interest

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.67	If a member disclosed an interest, did he/she ensure that they did not remain present to participate in any discussion or decision-making procedure relating to the matter in which the interest was disclosed (not including participation approvals granted under s5.68).	Yes		John Nuttall
2	s5.68(2)	Were all decisions made under section 5.68(1), and the extent of participation allowed, recorded in the minutes of Council and Committee meetings.	Yes		John Nuttall
3	s5.73	Were disclosures under section 5.65 or 5.70 recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the disclosure was made.	Yes		John Nuttall
4	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly elected members within three months of their start day.	N/A		John Nuttall
5	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly designated employees within three months of their start day.	Yes		John Nuttall
6	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all continuing elected members by 31 August 2018.	Yes		John Nuttall
7	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all designated employees by 31 August 2018.	Yes		John Nuttall

8	s5.77	On receipt of a primary or annual return, did the CEO, (or the Mayor/ President in the case of the CEO's return) on all occasions, give written acknowledgment of having received the return.	Yes	John Nuttall
9	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained the returns lodged under section 5.75 and 5.76	Yes	John Nuttall
10	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.65, 5.70 and 5.71, in the form prescribed in Administration Regulation 28.	Yes	John Nuttall
11	s5.88 (3)	Has the CEO removed all returns from the register when a person ceased to be a person required to lodge a return under section 5.75 or 5.76.	Yes	John Nuttall
12	s5.88(4)	Have all returns lodged under section 5.75 or 5.76 and removed from the register, been kept for a period of at least five years, after the person who lodged the return ceased to be a council member or designated employee.	Yes	John Nuttall
13	s5.103 Admin Reg 34C & Rules of Conduct Reg 11	Where an elected member or an employee disclosed an interest in a matter discussed at a Council or committee meeting where there was a reasonable belief that the impartiality of the person having the interest would be adversely affected, was it recorded in the minutes.	N/A	John Nuttall
14	s5.70(2)	Where an employee had an interest in any matter in respect of which the employee provided advice or a report directly to the Council or a Committee, did that person disclose the nature of that interest when giving the advice or report.	Yes	John Nuttall
15	s5.70(3)	Where an employee disclosed an interest under s5.70(2), did that person also disclose the extent of that interest when required to do so by the Council or a Committee.	Yes	John Nuttall
16	s5.103(3) Admin Reg 34B	Has the CEO kept a register of all notifiable gifts received by Council members and employees.	Yes	John Nuttall

Disposal of Property

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.58(3)	Was local public notice given prior to disposal for any property not disposed of by public auction or tender (except where excluded by Section 3.58(5)).	Yes		John Nuttall

2	s3.58(4)	Where the local government disposed of property under section 3.58(3), did it provide details, as prescribed by section 3.58(4), in the required local public notice for each disposal of property.	Yes	John Nuttall
---	----------	---	-----	--------------

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s7.1A	Has the local government established an audit committee and appointed members by absolute majority in accordance with section 7.1A of the Act.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
2	s7.1B	Where a local government determined to delegate to its audit committee any powers or duties under Part 7 of the Act, did it do so by absolute majority.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
3	s7.3	Was the person(s) appointed by the local government to be its auditor, a registered company auditor.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
4	s7.3, 7.6(3)	Was the person or persons appointed by the local government to be its auditor, appointed by an absolute majority decision of Council.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
5	Audit Reg 10	Was the Auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2018 received by the local government within 30 days of completion of the audit.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
6	s7.9(1)	Was the Auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2018 received by the local government by 31 December 2018.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
7	S7.12A(3)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act required action to be taken by the local government, was that action undertaken.	N/A		Tanika McLennan
8	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act) required action to be taken by the local government, was a report prepared on any actions undertaken.	N/A		Tanika McLennan

9	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act) required action to be taken by the local government, was a copy of the report forwarded to the Minister by the end of the financial year or 6 months after the last report prepared under s7.9 was received by the local government whichever was the latest in time.	N/A		Tanika McLennan
10	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the objectives of the audit.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
11	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the scope of the audit.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
12	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include a plan for the audit.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
13	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include details of the remuneration and expenses to be paid to the auditor.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
14	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the method to be used by the local government to communicate with, and supply information to, the auditor.	Yes		Tanika McLennan
15	Audit Reg 17	Has the CEO reviewed the appropriateness and effectiveness of the local government's systems and procedures in accordance with regulation 17 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.	N/A		Tanika McLennan
16	Audit Reg 17	If the CEO has not undertaken a review in accordance with regulation 17 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996, is a review proposed and when.	Yes	2020	Tanika McLennan

Integrated Planning and Reporting

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.56 Admin Reg 19DA (6)	Has the local government adopted a Corporate Business Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date of the most recent Plan in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	09/17	John Nuttall
2	s5.56 Admin Reg 19DA (6)	Has the local government adopted a modification to the most recent Corporate Business Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	No		John Nuttall

3	s5.56 Admin Reg 19C (7)	Has the local government adopted a Strategic Community Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date of the most recent Plan in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	09/17	John Nuttall
4	s5.56 Admin Reg 19C (7)	Has the local government adopted a modification to the most recent Strategic Community Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	No		John Nuttall
5	S5.56	Has the local government adopted an Asset Management Plan. If Yes, in Comments please provide date of the most recent Plan, plus if adopted or endorsed by Council the date of adoption or endorsement. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	N/A		John Nuttall
6	S5.56	Has the local government adopted a Long Term Financial Plan. If Yes, in Comments please provide date of the most recent Plan, plus if adopted or endorsed by Council the date of adoption or endorsement. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	09/17	John Nuttall
7	S5.56	Has the local government adopted a Workforce Plan. If Yes, in Comments please provide date of the most recent Plan plus if adopted or endorsed by Council the date of adoption or endorsement. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	N/A		John Nuttall

Local Government Employees Reference Respondent No Question Response Comments Admin Reg 18C N/A John Nuttall 1 Did the local government approve the process to be used for the selection and appointment of the CEO before the position of CEO was advertised. 2 s5.36(4) Were all vacancies for the position N/A John Nuttall s5.37(3), Admin of CEO and other designated senior Reg 18A employees advertised and did the advertising comply with s.5.36(4), 5.37(3) and Admin Reg 18A. 3 Admin Reg 18F Was the remuneration and other N/A John Nuttall benefits paid to a CEO on appointment the same remuneration and benefits advertised for the position of CEO under section 5.36(4). 4 Admin Regs 18E N/A John Nuttall Did the local government ensure checks were carried out to confirm that the information in an application for employment was true (applicable to CEO only).

	5	s5.37(2)	Did the CEO inform council of each proposal to employ or dismiss a designated senior employee.	N/A	John Nuttall
--	---	----------	--	-----	--------------

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.120	Where the CEO is not the complaints officer, has the local government designated a senior employee, as defined under s5.37, to be its complaints officer.	N/A		John Nuttall
2	s5.121(1)	Has the complaints officer for the local government maintained a register of complaints which records all complaints that result in action under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	Yes		John Nuttall
3	s5.121(2)(a)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording of the name of the council member about whom the complaint is made.	Yes		John Nuttall
4	s5.121(2)(b)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording the name of the person who makes the complaint.	Yes		John Nuttall
5	s5.121(2)(c)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording a description of the minor breach that the standards panel finds has occured.	Yes		John Nuttall
6	s5.121(2)(d)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include the provision to record details of the action taken under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	Yes		John Nuttall

ю	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.57 F&G Reg 11	Did the local government invite tenders on all occasions (before entering into contracts for the supply of goods or services) where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, worth more than the consideration stated in Regulation 11(1) of the Local Government (Functions & General) Regulations (Subject to Functions and General Regulation 11(2)).	Yes		John Nuttall
2	F&G Reg 12	Did the local government comply with F&G Reg 12 when deciding to enter into multiple contracts rather than inviting tenders for a single contract.	N/A		John Nuttall
3	F&G Reg 14(1) & (3)	Did the local government invite tenders via Statewide public notice.	Yes		John Nuttall
4	F&G Reg 14 & 15	Did the local government's advertising and tender documentation comply with F&G Regs 14, 15 & 16.	Yes		John Nuttall
5	F&G Reg 14(5)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to tenderers, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought copies of the tender documents or each acceptable tenderer, notice of the variation.	N/A		John Nuttall
6	F&G Reg 16	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening tenders comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16.	Yes		John Nuttall
7	F&G Reg 18(1)	Did the local government reject the tenders that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation to tender.	N/A		John Nuttall
8	F&G Reg 18 (4)	In relation to the tenders that were not rejected, did the local government assess which tender to accept and which tender was most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	Yes		John Nuttall
9	F&G Reg 17	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 17.	Yes		John Nuttall
10	F&G Reg 19	Was each tenderer sent written notice advising particulars of the successful tender or advising that no tender was accepted.	Yes		John Nuttall

11	F&G Reg 21 & 22	Did the local governments's advertising and expression of interest documentation comply with the requirements of F&G Regs 21 and 22.	Yes	John Nuttall
12	F&G Reg 23(1)	Did the local government reject the expressions of interest that were not submitted at the place and within the time specified in the notice.	N/A	John Nuttall
13	F&G Reg 23(4)	After the local government considered expressions of interest, did the CEO list each person considered capable of satisfactorily supplying goods or services.	Yes	John Nuttall
14	F&G Reg 24	Was each person who submitted an expression of interest, given a notice in writing in accordance with Functions & General Regulation 24.	Yes	John Nuttall
15	F&G Reg 24AD(2)	Did the local government invite applicants for a panel of pre- qualified suppliers via Statewide public notice.	N/A	John Nuttall
16	F&G Reg 24AD(4) & 24AE	Did the local government's advertising and panel documentation comply with F&G Regs 24AD(4) & 24AE.	N/A	John Nuttall
17	F&G Reg 24AF	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16 as if the reference in that regulation to a tender were a reference to a panel application.	N/A	John Nuttall
18	F&G Reg 24AD(6)	If the local government to sought to vary the information supplied to the panel, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought detailed information about the proposed panel or each person who submitted an application, notice of the variation.	N/A	John Nuttall
19	F&G Reg 24AH(1)	Did the local government reject the applications to join a panel of pre- qualified suppliers that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation for applications.	N/A	John Nuttall
20	F&G Reg 24AH(3)	In relation to the applications that were not rejected, did the local government assess which application(s) to accept and which application(s) were most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	N/A	John Nuttall
21	F&G Reg 24AG	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register about panels of pre-qualified suppliers, comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24AG.	N/A	John Nuttall

22	F&G Reg 24AI	Did the local government send each person who submitted an application, written notice advising if the person's application was accepted and they are to be part of a panel of pre-qualified suppliers, or, that the application was not accepted.	N/A	John Nuttall
23	F&G Reg 24E	Where the local government gave a regional price preference in relation to a tender process, did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24E in relation to the preparation of a regional price preference policy (only if a policy had not been previously adopted by Council).	N/A	John Nuttall
24	F&G Reg 24F	Did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24F in relation to an adopted regional price preference policy.	Yes	John Nuttall
25	F&G Reg 11A	Does the local government have a current purchasing policy in relation to contracts for other persons to supply goods or services where the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, \$150,000 or less.	Yes	John Nuttall

I certify this Compliance Audit return has been adopted by Council at its meeting on

Signed Mayor / President, Mount Marshall

Signed CEO, Mount Marshall

Chairperson Initial

7.0 Next Meeting – to be advised

8.0 Closure of Meeting

The Chairman thanked Mr Greg Godwin for his attendance and declared the meeting closed at 9:58am.

These Minutes were confirmed by the Mt Marshall Audit Committee at its meeting held

Date

Chairman